Strengths and weaknesses of the IGS contribution to the ITRF

Zuheir Altamimi, Xavier Collilieux, Laurent Metivier, Paul Rebischung
IGN, France
Outline

• Summary of GNSS strengths & weaknesses

• Extended analysis beyond ITRF2008 time span
  – Revisit the relative weighting btw space geodesy (SG) and local ties
  – Impact of uncalibrated radomes at co-location sites?
  – Re-assess the scale and origin “accuracy”
  – Working analysis in preparation for ITRF2013
  – Results shown are not definitive

• Recommendations to IGS for future contribution (ITRF2013)
Strengths of GNSS

- GNSS/IGS is the link between DORIS, SLR and VLBI networks in the ITRF combination
- Geographic density
  - Covering most tectonic plates
  - Precise determination of the ITRF orientation time evolution
- Most precise and accurate polar motion
- Real, near real time and universal access to ITRF using IGS products
Weaknesses of GNSS

• Imprecise TRF origin (esp in Z) due to mainly orbit mismodeling errors;

• Under-determined TRF scale due to phase center variations & offsets of the ground and satellite antennas;

• 50% of the IGS sites have discontinuities in the position time series due to equipment changes
  – Serious impact on site velocities

• Sites with uncalibrated radomes, esp at co-location sites.
Antenna calibration types

Antenna calibration types
at co-located ITRF2008 GNSS stations

- Robot
- Copied
- Converted
- Uncalibrated radome
Next ITRF solution (ITRF2013)

• To be ready in mid 2014:
  – CfP for ITRF2013 will be issued by Fall 2012
  – Outcome of the evaluation of solutions submitted following the ITRS/GGFC call, with & without atmospheric loading corrections
  – All techniques to submit solutions by Jan-Feb, 2014

• Expected Improvements & Developments:
  – Reprocessed solutions;
  – Revisiting the weighting of Local Ties and Space Geodesy solutions included in the ITRF combination;
  – Improving the process of detection of discontinuities in the time series;
  – Modelling the post-seismic & non-linear station motions.
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Extended analysis beyond ITRF2008 time-span

• VLBI: IVS daily SINEX files up to epoch 2012.0 (S. Bachmann)

• SLR: ILRSA weekly SINEX up to epoch 2012.1

• GPS: Improved IGS combined weekly SINEX up to 2011.3 where mean origin and scale are preserved

• DORIS: Extended by weekly solutions up to 2011.7, provided by G. Moreaux
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Revisit the weighting btw local ties and SG solutions

• Difficulties:
  – Velocity disagreements btw techniques for some sites
  – Large “tie” discrepancies for 50% of sites
  – Epochs of ties and discontinuities (?)
  – Local tie accuracy (?)

• Procedure: Estimate variance factors (VF) for SG solutions via velocity fields combination
  – Add local tie SINEX files and iterate (re-evaluate tie VF) until convergence ==> unit weight close to 1.

• 15 test combinations, by varying floor sigmas of:
  – Local Ties (1, 2, 3) mm
  – Velocity constraints (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0) mm/yr
Scale factors wrt ITRF2008

Tests: Floor \( \sigma \) Ties (1, 2, 3 mm), and \( \sigma \) Velocity (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 mm/yr)
Scale factors wrt ITRF2008
Uncalibrated Radome Sites Excluded

Tests: Floor $\sigma$ Ties (1, 2, 3 mm), and $\sigma$ Velocity (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 mm/yr)

Scale Difference (VLBI-SLR) amplified by 0.2 ppb
### Uncalibrated Radomes: Tie Residuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>E (mm)</th>
<th>N (mm)</th>
<th>Up (mm)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRO1</td>
<td>+4.9</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>VLBA, seems OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORT</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>+1.9</td>
<td>VLBI, but tie corrected by J. Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GODE</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>+5.2</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>SLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDO1</td>
<td>+1.8</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>+17.0</td>
<td>SLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDO1</td>
<td>+4.3</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>+7.0</td>
<td>VLBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLIB</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>+1.9</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>VLBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>+6.7</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>VLBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAO</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td>SLR: probably GPS problem in N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAO</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>VLBI: probably GPS problem in N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIDB</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>+2.2</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>VLBI, seems OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSKB</td>
<td>+2.2</td>
<td>+2.1</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>VLBI, seems OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
<td>VLBI: probably GPS problem in N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
<td>+8.1</td>
<td>SLR: probably GPS problem in N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YARR</td>
<td>+4.0</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>+17.2</td>
<td>SLR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of “velocity tie” problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Up</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GODE</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>SLR: Total residuals at tie epoch Due to velocity discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDO1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>SLR: Total residuals at tie epoch Due to velocity discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDO1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>VLBI: Total residuals at tie epoch Due to velocity discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLIB</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>VLBI: Total residuals at tie epoch Due to velocity discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDI</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>VLBI: Total residuals at tie epoch Due to velocity discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>Effect of VLBI antenna sag (P. Sarti)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of the extended analysis

• Scale (at 2005.0):
  – Agreement btw SLR&VLBI : between 0.7 & 1 ppb
  – GPS : N/A
  – DORIS : in between SLR and VLBI

• Scale rate wrt ITRF2008 in ppb/yr :
  – SLR, VLBI & DORIS : between -0.03 & 0.03 (± 0.02)
  – GPS : -0.02

• Origin wrt ITRF2008 (at 2005.0):
  – SLR : 0 (±1) mm
  – GPS : up to 10 mm in Z
  – DORIS : unreliable in Z

• Origin rate with respect to ITRF2008 :
  – SLR : (-0.3, 0, 0) (±0.1) mm/yr
  – GPS : 0.7 mm/yr in Z
  – DORIS : unreliable in Z

• Uncalibrated radome effect : 0.2 ppb
Conclusion & Recommendations to IGS

• How many IGS stations should be in the ITRF?
  – ~ 400 (but the best and homogeneously distributed stations!)
  – The ITRF is a global reference, its densification is the task of regional entities of IAG Com. 1: AFREF, EUREF, etc.

• IGS RF sites are fundamental not only to IGS, but also to ITRF itself (ITRF orientation time evolution)

• ITRF current accuracy: ~1cm over its time-span

• Results of extended analysis: consistent with ITRF2008
  – ==> ITRF2013 scale may be fixed to ITRF2008

• Impact of uncalibrated radomes: ~ 0.2 ppb (undesirable)
  – GPS & VLBI might have the same (opposite) error (e.g. Tsukuba)

• ACs to adopt same strategy for Earthquakes (poster by Lercier et al.):
  – Discard observations at the time of the Earthquake, or/and
  – Estimate two positions: before and after the event